Subject: Draft Minutes of the 54th meeting of the Board of Governors of Punjab Engineering College (Deemed to be University), Chandigarh.

A Brief of meeting and participants:

Date	20.12.2017			
Time	10:00 A	\.M.		
Venue	Board Room, 2 nd floor, Administrative Block, Punjab Engineering College (Deemed to be University), Sector -12, Chandigarh			ge (Deemed to
In chair	Sh. Som Mittal, Chairman Board of Governors			
List of Participants	S.No	Name (Sh./ Mr./ Dr./ Prof.)	Constituency as per MOA	Designation in BOG
	1	Anurag Agarwal, IAS	Secretary Technical Education	Member
	2	Harish Nayar, IAS	Special Secretary Finance	Member
	3	Arun Kumar Grover	Vice Chancellor, Panjab University	Member
	4	Sh. Rubinderjit Singh Brar, PCS	Joint Secretary & Director, Technical Education, UT, Chandigarh	Member
	5	N. Sathyamurthy	Former Director, IISER Mohali	Member
	6	A.K. Taneja	MD & CEO Shriram Pistons & Rings, New Delhi	Member
	7	Sanjeev Aggarwal	Founder & Senior Managing Director, Helion Ventures	Member
	8	Rajesh Khanna	Director, IIT	Member
	9	Rohit Grover	Chairman CII, Northern Region	Member
	10	Siby John	Professor, Civil Engineering Deptt.	Member
	11	Sanjeev Sofat	Professor, Computer Science	Member
	12	Pamela Kumar, Co-opted Member	Director General, TSDSI	Member
	13	Manoj K. Arora	Director, PEC	Member
	14	Manish Kumar	Registrar, PEC	Member Secretary
Leave of absence	1	Nominee	Department of Secondary Education, MHRD, GoI	Member
accorded	2	A.V. Dharma Reddy, IAS	Nominee of Ministry of Home Affairs	Member
	3	Nominee	Chairman UGC	Member
	4	A.K. Janmeja	Director Principal, GMCH – 32	Member
	5	Pawan Agarwal, IAS	CEO, Food Safety & Standards Authority of India (FSSAI)	Member
	6	Kanika Marwaha, Co-opted Member	Director, University Options	Member

B. The brief of proceedings is as follows:

The Board welcomed Shri Rubinderjit Singh Brar, PCS, Joint Secretary & Director Technical Education, UT, Chandigarh.

Item No.	Item	Decision
54.1	Draft minutes of 53 rd BOG meeting	Approved.
54.2	Action Taken Report of 53 rd BOG	Noted.
	meeting	
54.3	Change of Nomenclature (PEC	Noted and ratified.
	University of Technology to Punjab	
	Engineering College (Deemed to be	
	University))	
54.4	Manpower for Smart City	Approved as proposed. Ms. Pamela
	Innovation Centre and Centre of	Kumar shall send a note regarding
	Energy Studies	organization of a workshop at UIET,
		Panjab University in February, 2018 on
		Smart City.
54.5	Manpower of CDGC	Approved as proposed.
54.6	Engaging manpower against leave	Approved as proposed.
	and training	
54.7	Creation of New Faculty Positions	After detailed deliberations and taking into
		consideration views of Hon'ble members,
		28 positions as proposed were approved
		without any earmarking for internal
		distribution. Director was empowered to
		distribute as per requirement of the
		institute/ area of expertise/ full filling of
		requirement of statutory bodies. It was
		also viewed that being a public institute,
		these required positions may also fall
		under reservation roster. Accordingly, the

		necessary action may be taken.
		The institute shall also submit a detailed note on creation of new faculty positions with justification to UT Administration and also pursue the same with full vigor.
		It was further opined that a long term
		master plan may also be prepared for
		faculty/HR and necessary action in this
		regard may also be initiated.
54.8	Faculty Roster/ Backlog	Approved for filling the vacant positions.
		The approved table is placed at
		Annexure – I.
54.9		Approved for filling the vacant positions.
	Direct Recruitment (Open Category)	The approved table is placed at
		Annexure – II.
54.10	Proposed selection process for the Assistant Professor	e Direct/ CAS recruitment at the level of
	ASSISTANT FIOLESSOI	
	Selection by Direct Recruitment	Selection process, as proposed for direct recruitment was approved with small deviation (s) viz:
		recruitment was approved with small deviation (s) viz: i) Instead of teaching experience, the word
		recruitment was approved with small deviation (s) viz:
		recruitment was approved with small deviation (s) viz: i) Instead of teaching experience, the word 'relevant experience' which may include teaching, research, industry etc., may be
		recruitment was approved with small deviation (s) viz: i) Instead of teaching experience, the word 'relevant experience' which may include teaching, research, industry etc., may be used.
		recruitment was approved with small deviation (s) viz: i) Instead of teaching experience, the word 'relevant experience' which may include teaching, research, industry etc., may be used. ii) Instead of giving weightage of 15 for the
		recruitment was approved with small deviation (s) viz: i) Instead of teaching experience, the word 'relevant experience' which may include teaching, research, industry etc., may be used. ii) Instead of giving weightage of 15 for the experience, it may be restricted to
		recruitment was approved with small deviation (s) viz: i) Instead of teaching experience, the word 'relevant experience' which may include teaching, research, industry etc., may be used. ii) Instead of giving weightage of 15 for the experience, it may be restricted to maximum 10 (1 per year).

		process and weightages are placed at
		Annexure- III.
		During the presentation before the committee, in addition to Academic
		achievements, Teaching acumen, Research
		Work, Vision & future research directions,
		Soft Skill & Attitude of the candidate may
		also be evaluated.
		Further an orientation/induction training
		programme by the experts for newly
		recruited faculty may also be conducted.
	Selection Process by CAS:	The recommendations of the committee
		were accepted. Based on this, the
		proposed selection process was approved.
		Further, in case the direct recruitment is
		not held in particular year, the CAS shall
		continue to be held independently of direct
		recruitment in that year.
54.11		The issue was deliberated at length. The
	contractual faculty for three years	members had divergent views. Various
		models were suggested like that of being
		executed in TIFR, IITs, IIITs etc. catching
		young candidates who have only submitted
		their synopsis or only to go for candidates who have completed their PhD,
		advertisement based process or rolling
		advertisement, three years or five years
		period of engagement, consolidated salary
		or proper scale or scales followed in IITs
		for payment of salary with a differential
		structure of 6000/ 7000/ 8000 GP for

		different qualifications etc.
		different qualifications etc.
		After taking all these views in
		consideration, the Board empowered the
		Director to frame a policy for selection/
		engagement and move ahead with
		recruitment process. The objective should
		be to hire the best talent with acumen for
		research and teaching.
54.12	Conversion of positions of Sports	The issue was deliberated. It was agreed
	Faculty	that these two positions shall be converted
		into faculty positions in teaching
		departments. Director may take a call
		regarding the allocation of these two
		positions to the other departments as per
		the teaching & research load. As far as the
		administrative officers holding the positions
		against faculty positions, the status quo
		shall be maintained till these positions are
		sanctioned by the competent authority.
		The Director also informed that these
		faculty positions shall not be included in
		the recruitment process being initiated for
		regular faculty.
		The issue of sanctioning of these positions
		may be taken up independently of
		restructuring proposal with the UT
		Chandigarh Administration.
54.13	Povision of tuition for for U.C.	It was informed to the house that at
3 4 .13	Revision of tuition fee for U.G.	It was informed to the house that at
	Programme	present NIT has fixed tuition fee as Rs.1.25
		lacs per annum and IIT has fixed as Rs.2.0
		lacs per annum. It was also informed that

	1	l.,
		there is thumb rule of 10% fee
		enhancement per year to take care of
		inflationary index.
		The Board approved the proposed tuition
		fee for the academic year 2019-2020. For
		subsequent years, the Board opined that
		proposal may be brought at later stage.
54.14	Renovation of Guest House	Approved.
54.15	Creation of Computer Cluster in	Noted.
	the Institute	
54.16	Academic Programme in Hospital	The issue was deliberated at length. It was
	Engineering	informed that the DPR for the proposed
		academic program in hospital engineering
		has two parts. One is to initially start the
		programme in PEC with a financial support
		from Ministry of Health and Family Welfare,
		Government of India. The other is to go
		for establishment of an independent
		institute in Chandigarh to offer these
		programmes. It was also viewed that
		experts must be involved in the further
		refinement of the DPR. The inputs may
		also be drawn from Confederation of
		Accreditation of Hospitals Organizations
		(CAHO). However, the proposal shall be
		taken forward only after the approval and
		receipt of grants from the Ministry of
		Health and Family Welfare, Government of
		India.
54.17	Providing & fixing of new	Noted. The Board observed that telephone
	telephone exchange	exchange with latest configuration & IP
		compatible be only purchased.
54.18	Status of works	Noted. It was opined that progress of

	T	works may be shown as a time activity
		works may be shown as a time activity
		chart against intermittent milestones like
		conceptual stage, approval stage, tender
		stage, start of work, physical & financial
		progress of the work etc.
54.19	Setting up of ESRI Centre of	Noted. The Board appreciated the
	Excellence in Geospatial	partnership with Environmental Sciences
	Technologies	Research Institute India India (ESRI) India.
		Board also opined that the status of
		progress of various MoUs may also be
		informed to the house in the next meeting.
54.20	Visit of UGC Team	Noted. The Board opined that it is a very
		important exercise and should be taken
		very seriously by all the stake holders.
		Needful action at all level must be ensured
		on priority. Dr. Sanjeev Sofat was
		especially requested by the Board to
		ensure the success of this visit.
54.21	Admissions (U.G.) – 2018	Noted.
54.22	Reservation case filed by the then	Noted.
	President SC/ OBC Welfare	
	Association against faculty	
	selection made in January, 2016	
54.1 (S)	Minutes of the 52 nd meeting of	Already deliberated in Item No. 54.10
	BOG: Ratification of CAS	Accordingly, noted.
54.2 (S)	Centenary Celebrations	The item stands deferred as there is
		sufficient time in organizing and planning
		of the proposed event (s).
54.3 (S)	Draft proposal for making PEC an	The item stands deferred as the proposal
	Institute of Excellence	appears to be pre-mature and needs more
		inputs from various quarters.
54.4 (S)	Adjustment of two Data Entry	The Board declined the proposal.
	Operators, SPFU, U.T. Chandigarh	

	in any Department of PEC	
	University of Technology,	
	Chandigarh.	
54.5 (S)	Budget Estimates for the Financial	The Board agreed with the observations
	Year 2017-18	recorded in the minutes of Finance
		Committee. The extract from the Finance
		Committee Meeting is reproduced
		verbatim: -
		"Budget as proposed stands approved with the following advisory: 1. All the figures mentioned may be checked minutely. 2. Where so ever the expenditure is comparable with the last year figures, it is fine, otherwise both cases of expenditure on lower side/higher side may be looked into with reasons. The reported budget loss is Rs. 1.69 crores, the effort should be made to bring down that to zero figure."
54.6 (S)	Digitalization of collection of	The Board agreed with the observations
	Punjab Engineering College	recorded in the minutes of Finance
	receipts	Committee. The extract is reproduced
		verbatim: -
		" All means of payment needs to be incorporated in system. However, for digital mode of payment neither any financial liability should be levied on payee or payer. The proposed process and data must be designed for audit and reconciliation. Accordingly more holistic proposal is to be framed for consideration of Finance Committee and Board."
54.7 (S)	Difficulties being faced by PEC –	The item stands deferred due to paucity of
	CCE	time.
54.8 (S)	Action Plan (Jan-March/ Fourth	Noted and approved.
	quarter) for the Financial year	
	2017-18	
54.1 (T)	Counting of Past Service	Recommendations of the Committee are
		accepted and approved in principle. The
		financial liability may be worked out and

		sent to UT Administration. Accordingly, the
		details may be informed in the next Board
		meeting.
54.2 (T)	Agenda and Minutes of Finance	Noted.
	Committee meeting	
54.3 (T)	Items emerging out of Finance	The Board agreed with the decision of
	Committee meeting	Finance Committee meeting held on
		19.12.2017. The extract is reproduced
		verbatim: -
		2.6 Fabrication of Approved as proposed. furniture of Senate Room
		2.1 Installation of Approved as proposed. (T) mural in Central The constituted
		cut out in the committee must Administrative comprise of persons
		Block from the field of Art and Architecture. The
		expenditure should not exceed as per the
		estimate proposed by the Architect.
54.4 (T)	Agenda and Minutes of Building	Noted.
	Works & Committee	
54.5 (T)	Items emerging out of Building Work	s & Committee meeting
	Signage in PEC Campus	Approved as proposed. The complete
		document incorporating the background,
		references drawn, compliance of statutory
		bodies, provisions of National Building
		Code etc. be included.
	Development of Mango Grooves	Approved
	and Market Area Development	
54.6 (T)	Grant of Higher Administrative	·
	Grade	back to the Committee especially with
		reference to following points:
		i) Applicability of UGC Guidelines to PEC
		for implementation of HAG, accordingly,
		feasibility of undertaking cases who are/

		were eligible under the guidelines of UGC
		recommendations in reference of Sixth
		Central Pay Commission.
		ii) To propose future course of action.
		ii) To propose ruture course or action.
		It was also informed to house that salary
		and perks of UT college teachers are
		governed by Punjab rules and till Punjab
		adopts 7 th CPC/ Provisions of HAG, no
		action can be taken by any institute under
		Administrative Control of UT
		Administration.
54.7 (T)	Maximum number of Faculty	Approved as proposed.
	members on study leave for up-	
	gradation of qualification under QIP	
	or otherwise	
54.8 (T)	Best Performance based award for	Approved as proposed.
	Faculty	
54.9 (T)	Relaxation in essential qualification	Approved as proposed, only for
	of PhD in the Centre for	contractual engagement.
	Management & Humanities (CMH)	
	for contractual engagement.	
Any other	Establishment of Centre of	The house was briefed about the entire
Item	Excellence in partnership with	background of the issue like visits to
	Siemens	various institutes where the project has
		already been implemented, discussions
		and negotiations with Siemens, evaluation
		by industry experts: The Board expressed
		its dissatisfaction for keeping the project
		in limbo for so long after the BoG had
		given its approval.
		Director also briefed the house about
		concerns (Placed at Annexure- IV)

expressed by the Programme Director of Implementation Committee, Prof. Sanjeev Kumar, Mechanical Engineering Department.

After detailed deliberations on the issue, the Board opined that once in principle the decision taken for has been the implementation of the project by the competent authority i.e. BoG, after taking into consideration: i) views expressed by stake holders prior of approval of project ii) Adoption of Swiss Challenge, evaluation by expert and negotiations with Siemens resulting in additional 200 workstations, software upgradation, maintenance etc. At this stage we should not look back and carry the implementation of the project forward.

The Board also observed that once approval has been accorded by the BoG, the Implementation Programme Committee concerns should be confined to the implementation aspect and not to raise issues which falls beyond their domain.

As far as issue of signing of letter of intent on behalf of PEC in favour of M/s Siemens is concerned, the same can be signed by any faculty/ officer authorized by Director

The Director may choose a new team

consisting of young faculty members who have zeal to implement the project in its letter in spirit. The issues raised by Prof. Sanjeev Kumar as placed at **Annexure -**IV may be addressed on the file. Further whether Siemens is still interested for executing this project may be checked and accordingly all needful steps be taken.

The Board emphatically asked the faculty representatives on the Board to assist the Director and their faculty colleagues to take the issue forward with positive intent.

It was also informed the house that Shri. Anurag Agarwal, IAS, Home Secretary (Incharge Technical Education, UT Chandigarh) is completing his tenure on 11th January, 2018. The Board appreciated the services and support rendered by Shri Anurag Agarwal with his positive and proactive approach. Board especially records that he was pillar of strength for progress of the institute. Board and PEC are indebted for his contribution.

Registrar

Endst. No. PEC/RG/17/

Dated:

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:

- 1. BOG Members (As per list attached)
- 2. PA to Director

Registrar